

Extreme Events: Dynamics, Statistics & Prediction


Michael Ghil (ENS, Paris, & UCLA)

with P. Yiou & a full E2C2 cast +

B. Coluzzi, A. Groth & G. Weisbuch (ENS) +

P. Dumas & J.-Ch. Hourcade (CIRED) + S. Hallegatte (World Bank)

+ L. Sella & G. Vivaldo (U. of Torino)




Pls. see these sites for further info.

<http://www.atmos.ucla.edu/tcd/> (TCD); &

<http://www.environnement.ens.fr/> (CERES)

Motivation

- ◆ **The IPCC process:** Fourth Assessment Report (AR4)
- ◆ **3 working groups:** various sources of uncertainties
 - Physical Science Basis
 - Impacts, Adaptation and Vulnerability
 - Mitigation of Climate Change



- ◆ **Physical and socio-economic modeling**
 - **separate** vs. **coupled**
- ◆ **Ethics and policy issues**

Outline

A. Climate change and other natural hazards

- global warming
- extreme events: atmosphere, ocean, solid earth
- which kind of economy do they impact?

B. Dynamic coupling of the climate and socio-economic systems

- endogenous business cycles (EnBCs) vs.
“real” business cycles (RBCs)
- vulnerability paradox and nonlinear FDT
- data studies vs. model studies

C. Conclusions and bibliography

Extreme events: Causes and consequences (E2C2)


An overview and selected results

Motivation

1. The rarity of extreme events: an obstacle and an incentive.
2. Extreme events as a manifestation of complexity.
3. Means, variances, and extrema: statistical analysis and modeling – deterministic and stochastic.
4. Integrated analysis and modeling: Earth System Modeling (ESM) and beyond – coupling socio-economic and natural phenomena
5. A new integrated modeling tool: Boolean delay equations (BDEs): simpler, more flexible.
6. Pattern recognition and complex system modeling: a pathway to prediction?

Pls. visit the E2C2 web site: <http://e2c2.ipsl.jussieu.fr>

A few fashionable extremes



Outline

- ◆ **What we started with.**
- ◆ **What we did.**
- ◆ **What we found out.**
- ◆ **What we'd like to know.**

Outline

- ◆ **Who we were and what we started with →**


Extreme Events:

Causes and Consequences (E2-C2)

- ♦ **EC-funded project** bringing together researchers in **mathematics, physics, environmental and socio-economic sciences.**
- ♦ **€1.5M over 3.5 years (March 2005–August 2008).**
- ♦ **Coordinating institute: Ecole Normale Supérieure.**
- ♦ **17 ‘partners’ in 9 countries.**
- ♦ **72 scientists + 17 postdocs/postgrads.**
- ♦ **PEB: M. Ghil (ENS, Paris, P.I.), S. Hallegatte (CIRED), B. Malamud (KCL, London), A. Soloviev (MITPAN, Moscow), P. Yiou (LSCE, Gif s/Yvette, Co-P.I.)**



Belgium



France



Germany



Italy



Luxembourg



Romania





Russia




UK



USA




Great Natural Catastrophes 1950–2003



Number of major natural catastrophes, by year and type
of event (from *Munich Re, Topics Geo 2003*)

Natural Disasters





	1900-1909	1910-1919	1920-1929	1930-1939	1940-1949	1950-1959	1960-1969	1970-1979	1980-1989	1990-1999	2000-2005	Total
Hydrometeorological	28	72	56	72	120	232	463	776	1 498	2 034	2 135	7 486
Geological	40	28	33	37	52	60	88	124	232	325	233	1 252
Biological	5	7	10	3	4	2	37	64	170	361	420	1 083
Total	73	107	99	112	176	294	588	964	1 900	2 720	2 788	9 821

Number of natural disasters (1900–2005)

UN International Strategy for Disaster Reduction (ISDR)


OFDA/CRED International Disasters Database (EM-DAT)



E2-C2 Summary & Key Ideas


- ⊗ **Extreme events** a key manifestation of complex systems.
- ⊗ **Describe, understand & predict** extreme events.
- ⊗ Combine expertise in **complex systems** with broad knowledge in the **natural** and **social sciences**.
- ⊗ **Main study areas** included:
 - ⊠ Natural disasters (earthquakes, wildfires, landslides, climatic extremes, etc.)
 - ⊠ Socio-economic crises
 - ⊠ Interaction between economic & climatic changes
- ⊗ Six scientific work-packages **bridging the natural and social sciences**.
- ⊗ **Outcomes** included:
 - ⊠ Validated data sets
 - ⊠ Novel insights
 - ⊠ Forecast algorithms

U.S. Wildfires



Malamud, Morein & Turcotte
(1998, *Science*)

U.S. & Italian Rockfalls



Malamud, Turcotte, Guzzetti &
Reichenbach (2004, *ESPL*)

Frequency-size distributions for natural hazards

→ probabilistic hazard forecasting

Outline

- ◆ **What we started with.**
- ◆ **What we did →**

Extreme events: dynamics, statistics and prediction

M. Ghil^{1,2}, P. Yiou³, S. Hallegatte^{4,5}, B. D. Malamud⁶, P. Naveau³, A. Soloviev⁷, P. Friederichs⁸, V. Keilis-Borok⁹, D. Kondrashov², V. Kossobokov⁷, O. Mestre⁵, C. Nicolis¹⁰, H. W. Rust³, P. Shebalin⁷, M. Vrac³, A. Witt^{6,11}, and I. Zaliapin¹²

¹Environmental Research and Teaching Institute (CERES-ERTI), Geosciences Department and Laboratoire de Météorologie Dynamique (CNRS and IPSL), UMR8539, CNRS-Ecole Normale Supérieure, 75231 Paris Cedex 05, France

²Department of Atmospheric & Oceanic Sciences and Institute of Geophysics & Planetary Physics, University of California, Los Angeles, USA

³Laboratoire des Sciences du Climat et de l'Environnement, UMR8212, CEA-CNRS-UVSQ, CE-Saclay l'Orme des Merisiers, 91191 Gif-sur-Yvette Cedex, France

⁴Centre International pour la Recherche sur l'Environnement et le Développement, Nogent-sur-Marne, France

⁵Météo-France, Toulouse, France

⁶Department of Geography, King's College London, London, UK

⁷International Institute of Earthquake Prediction Theory and Mathematical Geophysics, Russian Academy of Sciences, Russia

⁸Meteorological Institute, University Bonn, Bonn, Germany

⁹Department of Earth & Space Sciences and Institute of Geophysics & Planetary Physics, University of California, Los Angeles, USA

¹⁰Institut Royal de Météorologie, Brussels, Belgium


¹¹Department of Nonlinear Dynamics, Max-Planck Institute for Dynamics and Self-Organization, Göttingen, Germany

¹²Department of Mathematics and Statistics, University of Nevada, Reno, NV, USA

Outline

- ◆ **What we started with.**
- ◆ **What we did.**
- ◆ **What we found out →**

Outcomes of prediction




Possible outcome of “yes” or “no” prediction. Its probabilistic nature is reflected in estimated probabilities of false alarms and failures to predict.

**Forecasting algorithm for natural & social systems:
can we beat statistics-based approaches?**


Ghil & Robertson, 2002, *PNAS*; Keilis-Borok. 2002, *Annu. Rev. EPS*.

Minimal model for seismic events



Burridge & Knopoff (1967, *BSSA*)

CA models of forest fires



Malamud & Turcotte (2000, *IEEE Trans. CSE*);
Spyratos, Bourgeron & Ghil (2007, *PNAS*)

Simple models (ODEs, cellular automata, and BDEs)
can help us understand and predict
complex interactions in “real” systems

Boolean Delay Equations (BDEs) as models for increasing complexity


Motivation

1. Complexity of the phenomena and feedback networks in the geosciences, life sciences and socio-economic problems.
2. Difficulty in formulating “classical” models (ODEs, PDEs, SDEs), ascertaining parameter values, and analyzing even qualitative behavior for such models.
3. Availability of new modeling tool: **Boolean Delay Equations (BDEs)** – simpler, more flexible
– easier to formulate and analyze

Work with *B. Coluzzi* (ENS, Paris), *D. Dee* (ECMWF, U.K.), *F.-f. Jin* (U. Hawaii), *V. Keilis-Borok* (IGPP, UCLA, & MITPAN, Moscow), *A.P. Mullhaupt* (Wall Street), *J.D. Neelin* (UCLA), *P. Pestiaux* (Total, France), *A.W. Robertson* (IRI, Columbia), *A. Saunders* (UCLA & L.A. School District), & *I. Zaliapin* (U. Nevada, Reno).

The place of BDEs in dynamical systems theory


after A. Mullhaupt (1984)
and M. Ghil et al. (2008)



Ghil, Zaliapin & Coluzzi, 2008;
Physica D, 237, 2967–2986.

Network topologies

Braid structure, input-output degree $k = 2$






Four types of networks:

- a) periodic, $k = 1$;
- b) fully connected, $k = N - 1$;
- c) random, $z = \langle k \rangle > N/2$;
- d) scale-free,

$$\text{Prob}(k) \sim k^{-\alpha}, \alpha > 0.$$

Strogatz (*Nature*, 2001)



Coupling ExEv's in the Natural & the Socio-Economic System

- ◆ **Received wisdom:**

- climate is at **equilibrium**, except when **forced**
- the economy is at **equilibrium**, except when hit by a **shock**
- it suffices to study the two systems one at a time
- typical integrated assessment models (**IAMs**) **couple weakly**

- ◆ **An alternative point of view:**

- climate has **natural variability** on many time scales (years to millennia)
- the economy has **endogenous business cycles** (EnBCs)
- the coupling of **intrinsic variability** in the two systems might
lead to surprises

- ◆ **Let's see!**

The need for models with endogenous dynamics

“The currently prevailing paradigm, namely that financial markets tend towards equilibrium, is both false and misleading; our current troubles can be largely attributed to the fact that the international financial system has been developed on the basis of that paradigm.”

George Soros,
*The New Paradigm for Financial Markets:
The Credit Crisis of 2008 and What It Means,*
BBS, PublicAffairs, New York, 2008

What is macroeconomics?

- ◆ **Economic subdisciplines**

- macroeconomics: national or regional economy **as a whole**
- microeconomics: **individual** households and firms
- econometrics: **methodology** of both macro- & microeconomics

- ◆ **Macroeconomic variables and indicators**


- gross domestic product (**GDP**) – produit intérieur brut (**PIB**)
- production, demand
- capital, profits (gross, net)
- price level, wages
- unemployment rate, number of employed workers
- liquid assets (of banks, companies)
- consumption, investment, stock

N. B. Some of these are in physical units, others are monetary;
some are observable (time series), some are not


Macroeconomic time series

Macroeconomic indicators of the U.S.


GDP




Price



Exports




Employment



Macroeconomic modeling

Two main areas of research



A tale of two theories: the “real” cycle and the endogenous cycle theories

- *In the real cycle theory, business cycles and economic fluctuations arise from exogenous “real” (i.e. not monetary) shocks, like changes in productivity or in energy prices, or from fiscal shocks.*

Aside from these exogenous shocks, the economic system is stable: all markets are at equilibrium, and there is no involuntary unemployment. Deviations from equilibrium are damped more or less rapidly. Acting on the economy, therefore (e.g., recovery policies), is not useful.

- *In endogenous business cycle (EBC) models, cyclical behavior originates from endogenous instabilities in the economic system.*

Several instabilities have been proposed:

- profitability-investment instability
- delays in investment
- income distribution



Acting on the economy can, therefore, have positive effects, by stabilizing it or by shifting its mean state.

NEDyM (Non-equilibrium Dynamic Model)


- Represents an economy with one producer, one consumer, one goods that is used both to consume and invest.
- Based on the Solow (1956) model, in which all equilibrium constraints are replaced by dynamic relationships that involve adjustment delays.
- The NEDyM equilibrium is neo-classical and identical to that in the original Solow model. If the parameters are changing slowly, NEDyM has the same trajectories as the Solow model.
- Because of market adjustment delays, NEDyM model dynamics exhibits Keynesian features, with transient trajectory segments, in response to shocks.
- NEDyM possesses endogenous business cycles!

Hallegatte, Ghil, Dumas & Hourcade (*J. Econ. Behavior & Org.*, 2008)



Endogenous dynamics: an alternative explanation for business cycles





Hopf bifurcation (“tipping point”) from stable equilibrium to a limit cycle (“business cycle”)





$\alpha_{inv} = 1.7$: purely periodic
behavior (limit cycle)





$\alpha_{inv} = 2.5$: transition to chaos
(irregular behavior)



$\alpha_{\text{inv}} = 10$: irregular orbit
(kinky torus)



$\alpha_{\text{inv}} = 20$: very asymmetric
business cycle
(relaxation oscillation)




Endogenous business cycles (EnBCs) in NEDyM

- Business cycles originate from the profit–investment relationship
(oscillations with a 5–6-year period) – Fukuyama (1989–92)?!
higher profits => more investments => larger demand => higher profits
- Business cycles are limited in amplitude by three processes:
 - increase in labor costs when employment is high;
 - constraints in production and the consequent inflation in goods prices when demand increases too rapidly;
 - financial constraints on investment.
- EnBC models need to be calibrated and validated
 - harder than for real business cycle models (RBCs):
fast and slow processes =>
need a better definition of the business cycles =>
study of BEA & NBER data!

Catastrophes and the state of the economy – I

A vulnerability paradox: When does a disaster cause greater **long-term damage** to an economy, during its **expansion phase** or during a **recession**?




Hallegatte & Ghil, 2008, *Ecol. Econ.*, **68**, 582–592, [doi:10.1016/j.ecolecon.2008.05.022](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.ecolecon.2008.05.022)

Catastrophes and the state of the economy – II


A vulnerability paradox:

A disaster that affects an economy during its
recession phase...



Catastrophes and the state of the economy – III

... causes **fewer** long-term damages
than if it occurs during an **expansion!**



Stylized Facts of a Business Cycle – I

Need a more objective, quantitative description of the “typical business cycle.” To do so we use two complementary approaches:

1. synchronization methods from dynamical systems (“chaos”); and
2. Advanced methods of time series analysis (SSA and M-SSA)


Bureau of Economic Analysis,
www.bea.gov; 1947–2005.

9 variables:

gross domestic product (GDP),
investment, consumption,
employment rate (in %), price,
total wage, imports, exports, and
change in private inventories.

Groth, Ghil, Hallegatte and Dumas, submitted

Raw data, detrended and standardized



9-channel SSA ($D = 9$, $M = 24$ quarters)

*Adaptive filtering, via multichannel
singular-spectrum analysis (M-SSA);*
vertical shaded bars are NBER-defined recessions

Stylized Facts of a Business Cycle – II


Stack spectrum of reconstructed components (RCs)

2 distinct periodicities:


a) the dominant business cycle (5 years)

b) a 3-year cycle.

Spread of points around the “mean cycle”:
nonlinear fluctuation-dissipation theorem?



Dumas, Ghil, Groth & Hallegatte,
Math. Social Sci., to appear.



Stylized Facts of a Business Cycle – III


Consider the local variance

fraction $V_{\mathcal{K}}(t)$

with $D = 9$, $M = 100$, and $A_k(t)$ the PCs:

$$V_{\mathcal{K}}(t) = \frac{\sum_{k \in \mathcal{K}} A_k(t)^2}{DM \sum_{k=1} A_k(t)^2}$$

The “signal” fraction
is largest during
the recessions



The “noise” fraction
is largest during
the expansions

Vertical shaded bars are NBER-defined recessions

Groth, Ghil, Hallegatte and Dumas, submitted

Conclusions and outlook: a hierarchy of economic models and data analysis methods

1. The highly idealized **NEDyM model** exhibits fairly realistic, **endogenous business cycles (EBCs)**: period = 5–6 years, seasaw shape, good phasing of indices.
2. NEDyM displays a **vulnerability paradox**:
 - extreme-event consequences depend on the state of the economy;
 - they are more severe during an expansion than a recession.
3. This paradox is supported by
 - consequences of **Izmit (Marmara) earthquake, 1999**;
 - reconstruction process after the **2004 and 2005 hurricane seasons in Florida**.
4. **U.S. economic data** (BEA, 1947–2005) tentatively support **a nonlinear fluctuation-dissipation theorem (FDT)** à la Ruelle.
5. **EBC model calibration** is an issue => sequential **data-assimilation methods** are being developed by P. Dumas and A. Groth.
6. Need **a better, quantitative characterization of business cycles**: U.S. + Euro-data, synchronization and spectral methods (A. Groth, L. Sella, G. Vivaldo)
7. Need more detailed, regional and sectorial models: B. Coluzzi, M. G., S.H., and G. Weisbuch are using simplified, **Boolean models to study the economy as a network of businesses** (suppliers and clients, etc.).

The deeper motivations of economic modeling



*"Really, Karl! Can't I mention the high price of
kohlrabi without getting a manifesto?"*

Outline

- ◆ **What we started with.**
- ◆ **What we did.**
- ◆ **What we found out.**
- ◆ **What we'd like to know →**

Some key questions on extreme events

- Are extreme events similar in nature to all other events, only larger?
(cf. Scott Fitzgerald's "The Great Gatsby")
- Do standard statistical theories of extreme-value distribution do justice to all types of phenomena, or are there differences?
 - "deterministic" vs. "stochastic" processes
- Can long-tailed distributions of events and periodic features co-exist in a time series?
- Can we gain confidence in predicting extreme events from deterministic and stochastic models of the underlying mechanisms?
- Topics for Panel Discussion?

“Rough” vs. “smooth” part of a signal, I


The “classical” record of Nile River floods.

Periodogram of the time series of Nile River minima:

- GPH^(*) estimator (blue) and
- fractionally differenced (FD) model (red)

❖ Hurst exponent of

$H = 0.88 \pm 0.09$ (GPH)/
 ± 0.05 (FD)



This illustrates the rough part of the record,
& hence the smooth part of the spectrum.

Ghil *et al.* (NPG, 2011), Hurst (1951, 1952); ^(*) Geweke & Porter-Hudak (1983)


“Rough” vs. “smooth” part of a signal, II

The “classical” record of
Nile River floods.

Singular-spectrum analysis
(SSA) +

Multi-taper method (MTM);
SSA-MTM Toolkit

❖ Spectral peak at 7–8 years
NAO origin, due to
Gulf Stream front?!



This illustrates the **smooth** part of the record,
& hence the **rough** part of the spectrum.

Ghil *et al.* (*NPG*, 2011), Kondrashov, Feliks & Ghil (*GRL*, 2005)

A few references

- Charney, J., *et al.*, 1979: *Carbon Dioxide and Climate: A Scientific Assessment*. National Academic Press, Washington, D.C.
- Coluzzi, B., M. Ghil, S. Hallegatte, & G. Weisbuch, 2010: Boolean delay equations on networks in economics and the geosciences, *Intl. J. Bif. Chaos*, 21 (12), 3511–3548.
- Ghil, M., R. Benzi, & G. Parisi (Eds.), 1985: *Turbulence and Predictability in Geophysical Fluid Dynamics and Climate Dynamics*, North-Holland, 449 pp.
- Ghil, M., *et al.*, 2002: Advanced spectral methods for climatic time series, *Rev. Geophys.*, **40**(1), pp. 3.1–3.41, [doi: 10.1029/2000RG000092](https://doi.org/10.1029/2000RG000092).
- Ghil, M., I. Zaliapin, & B. Coluzzi, 2008: Boolean delay equations: A simple way of looking at complex systems, *Physica D*, **237**, 2967–2986, [doi: 10.1016/j.physd.2008.07.006](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.physd.2008.07.006).
- Ghil, M., P. Yiou, S. Hallegatte, *et al.*, 2011: Extreme events: Dynamics, statistics and prediction, *Nonlin. Processes Geophys.*, **18**, 295–350, [doi:10.5194/npg-18-295-2011](https://doi.org/10.5194/npg-18-295-2011).
- Groth, A., and M. Ghil, Multivariate singular spectrum analysis and the road to phase synchronization, *Phys. Rev. E*, **84**, 036206 (10 pp.), [doi:10.1103/PhysRevE.84.036206](https://doi.org/10.1103/PhysRevE.84.036206).
- Groth, A., P. Dumas, M. Ghil and S. Hallegatte, 2013: Impacts of natural disasters on a dynamic economy, AGU Monograph on *Observations, Modeling and Economics of Extreme Events*, M. Chavez *et al.* (Eds.), in press.
- Hallegatte, S., M. Ghil, P. Dumas, and J.-C. Hourcade, 2007: Business cycles, bifurcations and chaos in a neo-classical model with investment dynamics, *J. Econ. Behavior & Organization*, **67**, 57–77, [doi: 10.1016/j.jebo.2007.05.001](https://doi.org/10.1016/j.jebo.2007.05.001).
- Hallegatte, S., and M. Ghil, 2008: Natural disasters impacting a macroeconomic model with endogenous dynamics, *Ecological Economics*, **68**, 582–592.

Reserve slides

Special Issue: **Extreme Events: Nonlinear Dynamics and Time Series Analysis**

Journal: **Nonlinear Processes in Geophysics (NPG)**

Editors: Henning Rust, Pascal Yiou and Bruce D. Malamud

(0) **Overall Review Paper : Extreme Events: Dynamics, Statistics and Prediction**

M. Ghil, P. Yiou, + WG leaders and all other contributors in alphabetical order, in preparation.

(1) **Recurrence and interoccurrence behavior of self-organized complex phenomena.**

S. G. Abaimov, D. L. Turcotte, R. Shcherbakov, and J. B. Rundle. *NPG*, **14**, 455-464, 2007.

(2) **Spatial dependences among precipitation maxima over Belgium.**

S. Vannitsem and P. Naveau. *NPG*, **14**, 621-630, 2007.

(3) **Analysis of global geomagnetic variability.**

V. Anh, Z.-G. Yu, and J. A. Wanliss. *NPG*, **14**, 701-708, 2007.

(4) **Modeling pairwise dependencies in precipitation intensities.**

M. Vrac, P. Naveau, and P. Drobinski. *NPG*, **14**, 789-797, 2007.

(5) **Sequence of eruptive events in the Vesuvio area recorded in shallow-water Ionian Sea sediments.**

C. Taricco, S. Alessio, and G. Vivaldo. *NPG*, **15**, 25-32, 2008.

(6) **Detecting spatial patterns with the cumulant function – Part 1: The theory.**

A. Bernacchia and P. Naveau. *NPG*, **15**, 159-167, 2008.

(7) **Detecting spatial patterns with the cumulant function – Part 2: An application to El Niño.**

A. Bernacchia, P. Naveau, M. Vrac, and P. Yiou. *NPG*, **15**, 169-177, 2008.

(8) **Transformation of frequency-magnitude relation prior to large events in the model of block structure dynamics.**

A. Soloviev. *NPG*, **15**, 209-220, 2008.

(9) **Loading rates in California inferred from aftershocks.**

C. Narteau, P. Shebalin, and M. Holschneider. *NPG*, **15**, 245-263, 2008.

(10) **Weather regime dependence of extreme value statistics for summer temperature and precipitation.**

P. Yiou, K. Goubanova, Z. X. Li, and M. Nogaj. *NPG*, **15**, 365-378, 2008.

(11) **A delay differential model of ENSO variability: parametric instability and the distribution of extremes.**

M. Ghil, I. Zaliapin, and S. Thompson. *NPG*, **15**, 417-433, 2008.


(12) **Extreme event return times in long-term memory processes near 1/f.**

R. Blender, K. Fraedrich, and F. Sienz. *NPG*, **15**, 557-565, 2008.

(13) **Multivariate non-normally distributed random variables in climate research – introduction to the copula approach.**

C. Schölzel and P. Friederichs. *NPG*, **15**, 761-772, 2008.

Prediction of homicide surges



•Data from L.A.P.D.

Forecasting algorithm example for social systems

Keilis-Borok, Gascon, Soloviev + 3 (2003,
in *T. Beer & A. Ismail-Zadeh, Eds., Kluwer*)

OECD Global Science Forum

Wkshop on Complexity Science & Public Policy

Erice, 5–7 Oct. 2008

Dynamic Coupling of the Climate and Socio-Economic Systems

Michael Ghil (ENS & UCLA)

**with B. Coluzzi, A. Groth & G. Weisbuch (ENS),
P. Dumas, S. Hallegatte & J.-Ch. Hourcade (CIRED),
L. Sella, P. Terna & G. Vivaldo (U. of Torino)**



Pls. see these sites for further info.

<http://www.atmos.ucla.edu/tcd/> (TCD and IPCC)

<http://www.environnement.ens.fr/>

Extreme Events:
Causes and Consequences (E2C2)
WP4: Economic impacts of extremes

Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA)

Spatial EOFs

$$\phi(x, t) = \sum a_k(t) e_k(x) \quad x \text{ -- space}$$

$$C_\phi(x, y) = E \phi(x, \omega) \phi(y, \omega) \\ = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T \phi(x, t) \phi(y, t) dt$$

$$C_\phi e_k(x) = \lambda_k e_k(x)$$

SSA


$$X(x + s) = \sum a_k(t) e_k(s) \quad s \text{ -- lag}$$

$$C_X(s) = E X(t + s, \omega) \phi(s, \omega) \\ = \frac{1}{T} \int_0^T X(t) X(t + s) dt$$

$$C_X e_k(s) = \lambda_k e_k(s)$$


Colebrook (1978); Weare & Nasstrom (1982);
Broomhead & King (1986: BK); Fraedrich (1986)

BK+VG: Analogy between Mañe-Takens embedding
and the Wiener-Khinchin theorem



Singular Spectrum Analysis (SSA)


Time series




SSA decomposes (geophysical & other)
time series into

Temporal EOFs (T-EOFs) and
Temporal Principal Components (T-PCs),
based on the series' lag-covariance matrix

T-EOFs



RCs



Selected parts of the series can be
reconstructed, via

Reconstructed Components (RCs)

- SSA is good at isolating oscillatory behavior via paired eigenelements.
- SSA tends to lump signals that are longer-term than the window into
 - one or two trend components.


Selected References:

Vautard & Ghil (1989, *Physica D*);
Ghil *et al.* (2002, *Rev. Geophys.*) 12/28

Recessions and Expansions from the Great Depression to Today

Recession

Expansion



(SOURCE: THE NATIONAL BUREAU OF ECONOMIC RESEARCH)

Some real mathematics of large deviations

Varadhan's Lemma

There is a simple lemma due to Laplace that is useful in evaluating limits of integrals: For every continuous function b on $[0,1]$

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_0^1 e^{-nb(x)} dx = -\inf b(x).$$

(The common fact $\lim_{p \rightarrow \infty} \|f\|_p = \|f\|_\infty$ can be used to get a one-line proof of this lemma:

$$\begin{aligned} \lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \log \|e^{-b}\|_n &= \log \|e^{-b}\|_\infty \\ &= \log \sup e^{-b(x)} = -\inf b(x). \end{aligned}$$

Now suppose we are given a family of probability measures and are asked to evaluate the limit

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_0^1 e^{-nb(x)} d\mu_n(x).$$

In his 1966 paper Varadhan argues that if we have

$$d\mu_n(x) \sim e^{-nI(x)} dx,$$

then by Laplace's lemma this limit would be

$$-\inf [b(x) + I(x)].$$

The function $I(x)$ is now called the *rate function*. It is defined for spaces much more general than the unit interval $[0,1]$.

Let X be any complete separable metric space (Polish space). A rate function I is a lower semicontinuous function from X into $[0, \infty]$ such that for every $\ell < \infty$ the level-set $\{x : I(x) \leq \ell\}$ is compact. A family $\{\mu_n\}$ of probability measures on X is said to satisfy the *large-deviation principle* (LDP) with the rate function I if

(i) for every open set U

$$\liminf_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu_n(U) \geq -\inf_U I(x),$$

(ii) for every closed set F

$$\limsup_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \mu_n(F) \leq -\inf_F I(x).$$

Varadhan's Lemma says that if $\{\mu_n\}$ satisfy the LDP, then for every bounded continuous function b on X

$$\lim_{n \rightarrow \infty} \frac{1}{n} \log \int_X e^{-nb(x)} d\mu_n(x) = -\inf [b(x) + I(x)].$$

There is an amazing variety of situations where the LDP holds. Finding the rate function is a complex art that Varadhan has developed over the years.

The Abel Prize 2007



Some prizes you win for your achievements and others you win through sheer luck. The [Abel prize](#) belongs to the former category and it has just been won by the mathematician Srinivasa S. R. Varadhan for his work on the maths describing those rare chance events that produce lucky lottery winners but can also spell death and disaster. The Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters awarded the prize "for Varadhan's fundamental contributions to probability theory and in particular for creating a unified theory of large deviation".



Srinivasa S. R. Varadhan has won the 2007 Abel prize. Image © Cheryl Sylvant/The Abel Prize/The Norwegian Academy of Science and Letters.

If you are a wildly optimistic person or someone crippled by pessimism, then you have probably often been told by rational and reasonable people to consider the laws of probability. For every lottery winner there are millions of people who don't win anything at all and for every potential time you walk down the street and a brick falls on your head from out of nowhere there are millions of times you've walked down the street safely. Everything evens out in the end and therefore your hopes or fears are completely unjustified.

The rational and reasonable person will have been right. There are rigorous results in probability theory that prove this. The *law of large numbers* and the *central limit theorem* state, loosely speaking, that if you repeat the same experiment — playing the lottery or walking down the street — a large number of times, then the observed outcomes — the number of times you win or the

The mathematical theory of **large deviations** is connected to stochastic processes, martingales, parabolic PDEs, and maximum principles. **Could it help us with our applications?**

The blessings of interdisciplinarity



♥ **John M. Keynes's
home in Bloomsbury**

photos M.G., May 2008

♥ **Photo with lover
Duncan Grant**

